



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CAREFUSION 303, INC.,

CASE NO. 10cv0442 DMS (WMC)

Plaintiff,

vs.

SIGMA INTERNATIONAL,

JURY VERDICT FORM

Defendant.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it is now your duty to answer the questions presented in this verdict form after due deliberation. Please answer the questions in the order in which they appear.

INFRINGEMENT - LITERAL

1. Do you find that CareFusion has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the Sigma Spectrum infusion pumps with the Serial Number Range of 700000-801580 literally infringe any of the following claims of CareFusion's U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553?

	“YES” is a finding for CareFusion	“NO” is a finding for Sigma
Claim 1		No
Claim 22		No
Claim 23		No

1 2. Do you find that CareFusion has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the Sigma
 2 Spectrum infusion pumps with the Serial Number Range of 801581 and above literally infringe any of
 3 the following claims of CareFusion's U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553?

	“YES” is a finding for CareFusion	“NO” is a finding for Sigma
Claim 1		<i>No</i>

7 INFRINGEMENT - DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS

8 3. Do you find that CareFusion has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the Sigma
 9 Spectrum infusion pumps with the Serial Number Range of 700000-801580 infringe under the doctrine
 10 of equivalents any of the following claims of CareFusion's U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553?

	“YES” is a finding for CareFusion	“NO” is a finding for Sigma
Claim 1		<i>No</i>
Claim 22		<i>No</i>
Claim 23		<i>No</i>

16 4. Do you find that CareFusion has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the Sigma
 17 Spectrum infusion pumps with the Serial Number Range of 801581 and above infringe under the
 18 doctrine of equivalents any of the following claims of CareFusion's U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553?

	“YES” is a finding for CareFusion	“NO” is a finding for Sigma
Claim 1		<i>No</i>

22 INFRINGEMENT - INDUCEMENT

23 5. Do you find that CareFusion has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Sigma induces
 24 its customers to infringe any of the following claims of CareFusion's U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553?

	“YES” is a finding for CareFusion	“NO” is a finding for Sigma
Claim 1		<i>No</i>
Claim 22		<i>No</i>
Claim 23		<i>No</i>

MONETARY DAMAGES FOR INFRINGEMENT

2 6. If you have found one or more claims of CareFusion's U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553 to be infringed
3 by Sigma, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, directly or through inducement, please
4 identify the amount of monetary damages that will compensate CareFusion for Sigma's infringement.

5 Price Erosion Damages \$ 100

6 Lost Profits Damages \$ 0

7 Reasonable Royalty Damages \$ 100,000

8 Reasonable Royalty Rate 8%

9
10 DATED: 9 February, 2012 Jaciel B Thomas
11 Foreperson